

**Adamski v. Adamska, No. 67328, Order of Affirmance (Unpublished Disposition
Jun 23, 2016)
SC UNPUB**

The district court's failure to hold an evidentiary hearing prior to concluding that Nevada was an inconvenient forum was not an abuse of discretion.

The Court further concluded that the district court did not abuse its discretion when it declined to exercise further jurisdiction based on forum non conveniens.

NRS 125A.365(2) ("Before determining whether it is an inconvenient forum, a court of this state shall consider whether it is appropriate for a court of another state to exercise jurisdiction. For this purpose, the court shall allow the parties to submit information and shall consider all relevant factors . . .").