Lewis v. Lewis, 132 Nev. \_\_\_\_, P.3d \_\_\_\_ (Adv. Opn. No. 46, Jun. 30, 2016)

\*#\*

A contempt order that does not contain a purge clause is criminal in nature. Because the district court's contempt order in this case did not contain a purge clause, appellant's constitutional rights were violated by imposing a criminal sentence without providing appellant with counsel.

Furthermore, the district court abused its discretion by improperly basing its decision to modify custody on appellant's failure to comply with a court order and by failing to consider and set forth its findings as to the NRS 125.480(4) (2009) factors for determining the child's best interest.