

**Grupo Famsa v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 132 Nev. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_ (Adv. Opn. No. 29, Apr. 21, 2016)**

\*\*\*

The Court considered whether constitutional due process was satisfied when service of process on a foreign company pursuant to the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (Hague Convention) depends solely upon a certificate of compliance issued by the foreign nation's central authority.

The Court found, where the Hague Convention applies, we hold that service of process must comply with both the Constitution and the Hague Convention. This means that you may have to take other actions to ensure that the Defendant is aware of the action taking place in Nevada.

[NOTE: The Court indicated that the Hague allows service in other ways other than through the Central Authority. However, every country has included comments to their adoption of the Hague as to how service is to be conducted. Mexico requires use of the Central Authority for service of process. Make sure you check this before blindly following the Hague.]