

**Vaile v. Porsboll, No. 61415/62797, Order Granting Rehearing in Part, Denying Rehearing in Part, and Affirming (Unpublished Disposition Apr. 14, 2016)
COA Unpub**

Order granting rehearing in part.

COA inadvertently did not discuss two issues brought up by Vaile on appeal. This rehearing dealt with those issues.

First, Vaile argued that since Norway was a reciprocating State under UIFSA, that they were allowed to modify the Nevada child support order. The COA found that even though Norway is a reciprocating State, they did not comply with the requirements under UIFSA to modify the Nevada order and thus the Nevada Child Support Order remains the controlling order.

Second, Vaile argued that Cisilie did not defend against the Norway order as required by NRS 130.607. The COA found that Vaile never attempted to register the Norway order in Nevada and thus the requirements under NRS 130.607 were never implicated.

As a side note, (out side of this decision) Vaile did attempt to register the Norway order in California. There the California COA found that the California courts lacked both subject matter and personal jurisdiction to proceed and dismissed the case with prejudice.